IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re Application of: Hisayoshi FUJIWARA et al Art Unit: 1646 Application No.: 10/538,927 Examiner: Xiaozhen Xie I. A. Filing Date: December 11, 2003 Washington, D.C. Filed: June 13, 2005 Atty.'s Docket: FUJIWARA=3 For: MEDICAL COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING ISCHEMIC CARDIAC... Confirmation No.: 5998 Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment Date: April 23, 2007 MONDAY Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Sir: Transmitted herewith is a REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT in the above-identified application. Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27. No additional fee is required. The fee has been calculated as shown below: [] (Col. 2) (Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY (Col. 1) CLAIMS HIGHEST NO. PRESENT RATE ADDITIONAL OR REMAINING **PREVIOUSLY EXTRA** FFF PAID FOR **AFTER EQUALS** AMENDMENT TOTAL MINUS 20 O 25 \$ INDEP MINUS 0 100 \$ FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM 180 \$

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL

\$

Other Than Small Entity

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

Small Entity

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

	Response Filed Within		Response Filed Within		
	[] First - \$ 60.00	[]	First -	\$ 120.00	
	[] Second - \$ 225.00	[]	Second -	\$ 450.00	
	[] Third - \$ 510.00	[]	Third -	\$ 1020.00	
	[] Fourth - \$ 795.00	[]	Fourth -	\$ 1590.00	
	Month After Time Period Set		Month After Time Period Set		
i]	[] Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$				
[]	Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$				
[]	A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).				
[XX]	The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connoverpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees as				

in connection with this application or credit any Il fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

RATE

50

200

360

TOTAL

OR

ADDITIONAL

FEE

\$

\$

\$

\$

Attorneys for Applic

Sheridan Neimark Registration No. 20,520

Facsimile:

(202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197

Telephone:

APR 2 3 7007 W

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: FUJIWARA=3 In re Application of: Confirmation No.: Hisayoshi FUJIWARA et al Art Unit: 1646 I.A. Filing Date: 12/11/2003 Examiner: Xiaozhen Xie 371(c) Date: June 13, 2005 April 23, 2007 U.S. Appln. No.: 10/538,927 MONDAY For: MEDICAL COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING ISCHEMIC CARDIAC...)

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

Applicants are in receipt of the Office Action mailed March 22, 2007, entirely in the nature of a restriction requirement based on alleged lack of unity of invention under the applicable PCT Rules. Applicants reply below.

First, however, applicants respectfully request the PTO to acknowledge the receipt of applicants' papers filed under Section 119.

Restriction has been required between what the PTO deems as being two (2) patentably distinct inventions. As